Arizona Department of Transportation

Office of the Director

206 South Seventeenth Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3213

Janet Napolitano
Governor

Victor M. Mendez
Director

Richard Travis
Deputy Director

April 2, 2007

Mr. Robert Hollis
Division Administrator
Federal Highway Administration
One Arizona Center, Suite 410
400 East Van Buren Street
Phoenix, AZ 85004-2285

RE: FY 2007-2011 STIP Amendment Number 4

Dear Mr. Hollis:

As the Governor's designee, I approve the action take by the Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization (CYMPO) **October 18, 2006, (1-FTA) and February 21, 2007, (2)** to amend the CYMPO FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). I cordially request Amendment Number 4 of the FY 2007-2011 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to include the projects listed on the attached sheets.

This amendment is in accordance with 23 CFR 450 and was developed based on a continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process in accordance with 23 USC 134 and Section 5303 of the Federal Transit Act (49 USC 53). The changes made to the program by this amendment are exempt from the requirements that a conformity determination be performed. The funding for these projects is already within the approved STIP Financial Plan and therefore does not adversely impact the STIP Financial Plan.

Your prompt approval of this request will be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Victor M. Mendez

VMM/sng

cc: Dale Buskirk
Jodi Ronney
Lupe Harriger
Janet Gafford
Jermaine R. Hannon
Hymie Luden
Debbie Mayfield

Attachments

Arizona Department of Transportation

Office of the Director

206 South Seventeenth Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3213

Janet Napolitano
Governor

Victor M. Mendez
Director

Richard Travis
Deputy Director

April 2, 2007

Mr. Leslie T. Rogers
Regional Administrator
Federal Transit Administration
201 Mission Street, Suite 1650
San Francisco, CA 94105

RE: FY 2007-2011 STIP Amendment Number 4

Dear Mr. Rogers:

As the Governor's designee, I approve the action take by the Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization (CYMPO) **October 18, 2006, (1-FTA) and February 21, 2007, (2)** to amend the CYMPO FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). I cordially request Amendment Number 4 of the FY 2007-2011 State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) to include the projects listed on the attached sheets.

This amendment is in accordance with 23 CFR 450 and was developed based on a continuing, cooperative and comprehensive transportation planning process in accordance with 23 USC 134 and Section 5303 of the Federal Transit Act (49 USC 53). The changes made to the program by this amendment are exempt from the requirements that a conformity determination be performed. The funding for these projects is already within the approved STIP Financial Plan and therefore does not adversely impact the STIP Financial Plan.

Your prompt approval of this request will be greatly appreciated.

Sincerely,

Victor M. Mendez

VMM/sng

cc: Dale Buskirk
Jodi Ronney
Lupe Harriger
Matt Carpenter
Jermaine R. Hannon
Hymie Luden
Debbie Mayfield
Janet Gafford

Attachments

CYMPO METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

AMENDMENT # 2

FINAL MTIP Fiscal Years 2007-2011 for Federal and State Funded Projects

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT LOCATION	BEGINNING MILEPOST	LENGTH MILES	TYPE WORK	LANES BEFORE/AFTER		FED AID TYPE	FED/STATE FUNDING	CYMPO FUNDING	LOCAL MATCH	TOTAL COST
	FISCAL YEAR 2007											
1	ADOT / Yavapai County	SR 89 / SR 89A T.I.	319.2	0.25	Construction T.I.			STP[1]		$ 1,020,000	$ 500,000	$ 1,520,000
2	ADOT / Yavapai County	SR 89 / SR 89A T.I.	319.2	0.25	Construction T.I.			State	$ 7,480,000			$ 7,480,000
3	ADOT / YC / Chino Valley	SR 89 MP324.3 Center St (Phase1)	324.3	2.8	Widen	2	4	State	$ 11,820,000	$ -	$ 500,000	$ 12,320,000
4	Prescott Valley / ADOT	Central Core Multi-Use Path			Multi-Use Pathway			TE	$ 356,369	$ -	$ 26,541	$ 382,910
								FY 07 Totals	$ 19,656,369	$ 1,020,000	$ 1,026,541	$ 21,702,910
	FISCAL YEAR 2008											
5	Prescott Valley / ADOT	SR69 Glassford - Starlight	290?	3000 LF	Multi-Use Pathway			TE	$ 756,528	$ -	$ 135,472	$ 892,000
6	Prescott Valley / ADOT	Glassford Hill Bison Ln/Antelope Ln			Multi-Use Pathway			TE	$ 554,000	$ -	$ 272,000	$ 826,000
7	ADOT	Agua Fria Bridge - Widen		175LF	Bridge Replace	2	5	BR	$ 3,000,000	$ -	$ -	$ 3,000,000
								FY 08 Totals	$ 4,310,528	$ -	$ 407,472	$ 4,718,000
	FISCAL YEAR 2009											
8	ADOT / City of Prescott	69/89 Intersection	312.5	0.25	Construction T.I.	4	6	BR	$ 1,150,000		$ -	$ 1,150,000
9	ADOT / City of Prescott	69/89 Intersection	312.5	0.25	Construction T.I.	4	6	STP	$ 20,379,000	$ 509,000	$ -	$ 20,888,000
10	ADOT / City of Prescott	69/89 Intersection	312.5		TEA-21 Grant			TE	$ 800,000	$ -	$ -	$ 800,000
11	Prescott Valley / ADOT	PV Pipeline (Phase 1) Manley to Lakeshore Dr		5800 LF	Multi-Use Pathway			TE	$ 500,000		$ 179,650	$ 679,650
								FY 09 Totals	$ 22,829,000	$ 509,000	$179,650	$ 23,517,650
	FISCAL YEAR 2010											
11	ADOT	Sundog Rd&Bridge	318.8		Turn-back			State	$1,400,000	$ -	$ -	$ 1,400,000
12	ADOT / Prescott Valley	SR 89A / Viewpoint T.I.		0.25	Construction T.I.			STP[2]	$ -	$ 1,377,350	$ -	$ 1,377,350
13	ADOT / Yavapai County	SR 89 Chino to SR89A (Phase2)	324.3	5.0	Widen	2	4		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
14	ADOT / City of Prescott	SR 69 Reservation-Mall			Widen	4	6		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
15	ADOT / Prescott Valley	SR 69 Vic Est to Pres E Hwy		7,000LF	Sig, med & widen	4	6		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
16	ADOT / Prescott Valley	SR69/169 Intersection		2,200LF	Turn lane to SR69	4&1	4&2		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
17	ADOT / Prescott Valley	SR169/Jct 69 to Foothills Drive		1.26 Miles	Widen Roadway	2	5		$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
								FY 10 Totals	$1,400,000	$1,377,350	$0	$2,777,350
	FISCAL YEAR 2011											
18									$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
19									$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
20									$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
21									$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -
								FY 11 Totals	$ -	$ -	$ -	$ -

FUNDING TOTALS FOR 2007-2011

Fed/State Total	$ 48,195,897			
CYMPO Total		$ 2,906,350		
Local Total This Page			$ 1,613,663	
All Projects Total This Page				$ 52,715,910

[1] CYMPO FY04 carry forward $509,979 & FY05 est amount $688,675=$1,198,654
[2] CYMPO Funding is from FY 06 and 07, est amount 688,675*2

STP = Surface Transportation Funds
BR = Bridge Replacement Funds
TE = Transportation Enhancement Funds
GVT = Local Government Funds

Shading in the Total Cost Column Indicates a Fully Funded Project
No shading in the Total Cost Column Indicates Project Not Fully Funded

ADOT=Arizona Department of Transportation
CV=Town of Chino Valley
PV=Town of Prescott Valley
COP=City of Prescott
YC=Yavapai County

FY 2007-2011 MTIP Funding Summary for all projects

Fed/State and CYMPO Funding Total	$ 51,102,247		
Local Project Funding Total Both Pages		$ 103,873,663	
All Projects Funding Total Both Pages			$ 154,975,910

See Page 2 for Local Projects

CYMPO METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

FINAL MTIP Fiscal Years 2007-2011 for Locally Funded Projects

ITEM NUMBER	SPONSOR	PROJECT LOCATION	BEGINNING MILEPOST OR LIMITS	LENGTH MILES	TYPE WORK	LANES BEFORE	LANES AFTER	OTHER FUNDING	LOCAL FUNDING	TOTAL COST
FISCAL YEAR 2007										
1	Yavapai County/Prescott	Williamson Valley Road-South Proj.	Iron Springs to Pioneer Parkway		Wider	2	4	$ -	$ 1,650,000	$ 1,650,000
2	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Road-North Proj.	PioneerParkway to Outer Loop Rd.		Wider	2	4	$ -	$ 1,700,000	$ 1,700,000
3	Yavapai Prescott Tribe	Tribal connector 69/89			Construct new road			$ -	$ 1,100,000	$ 1,100,000
4	Yavapai County	Adams/Kyle Street			Realign intersection			$ -	$ 210,000	$ 210,000
5	Prescott Valley	Santa Fe Loop/Glassford Hill Rd.			Signal Project			$ -	$ 550,000	$ 550,000
6	Prescott	Iron Springs Rd	Gail Gardner to Williamson Vly Rd.		Widen w/LT lane	4	5	$ -	$ 17,000,000	$ 17,000,000
7	Prescott	Copper Basin Rd	White Spar to Sherrif's Posse		Recorstruction	2	2	$ -	$ 13,000,000	$ 13,000,000
8	Prescott	Ruth St	Whipple to Whetstine		Recorstruction	3	3	$ -	$ 1,200,000	$ 1,200,000
						FY 07 Totals		$ -	$ 36,410,000	$ 36,410,000
FISCAL YEAR 2008										
9	Prescott Valley	Stoneridge/SR69 Lanes						$ -	$ 1,000,000	$ 1,000,000
10	Prescott Valley	SR 69 Stoneridge to Prescott East Hwy			Widening			$ -	$ 3,000,000	$ 3,000,000
11	Yavapai County/Prescott	Williamson Valley Road-South Proj.	Iron Springs to Pioneer Parkway		Widen	2	4	$ -	$ 5,200,000	$ 5,200,000
12	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Road-North Proj.	Pioneer Parkway to Outer Loop Rd.		Widen	2	4	$ -	$ 4,700,000	$ 4,700,000
13	Prescott	Rosser St	Willow Creek to Campbell		Reconstruction	2	2	$ -	$ 2,000,000	$ 2,000,000
14	Prescott	Demerse Av	Whetstine to Montebello		Reconstruction	2	2	$ -	$ 2,600,000	$ 2,600,000
15	Prescott	S. Mt. Vernon Av	Gurley to Senator Hwy.		Reconstruction	2	2	$ -	$ 1,200,000	$ 1,200,000
16	Chino Valley	Road 2 North	Bright Star to Highway 89		Widening	2	5-Apr	$ -	$ 4,000,000	$ 4,000,000
						FY 08 Totals		$ -	$ 23,700,000	$ 23,700,000
FISCAL YEAR 2009										
17	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Road-North Proj.	PioneerParkway to Outer Loop Rd.		Widen	2	4	$ -	$ 7,300,000	$ 7,300,000
18	Prescott	Williamson Vly Rd	Sidewinder to City Limits		Widen w/LT Lane	2	4/5	$ -	$ 8,400,000	$ 8,400,000
19	Chino Valley	Center Street	Road 1 East to Highway 89		Widening	2	4/5	$ -	$750,000	$750,000
						FY 09 Totals		$ -	$ 16,450,000	$ 16,450,000
FISCAL YEAR 2010										
20	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Road-North Proj.	Pioneer Parkway to Outer Loop Rd.		Widen	2	4	$ -	$ 7,285,000	$ 7,285,000
21	Prescott	Park Av	Gurley to Senator Hwy		Reconstruction	2	2	$ -	$ 2,200,000	$ 2,200,000
22	Prescott	SR89A/Side Rd	MP 320		Traffic Interchange			$ 500,000	$ 8,000,000	$ 8,500,000
23	Chino Valley	Road 1 East	Perkinsville Road to Road 2 North		Widening	2	4/5		$1,500,000	$1,500,000
						FY 10 Totals		$ 500,000	$ 18,985,000	$ 19,485,000
FISCAL YEAR 2011										
24	Yavapai County	Williamson Valley Road-North Proj.	Pioneer Parkway to Outer Loop Rd.		Widen	2	4	$ -	$ 6,215,000	$ 6,215,000
								$ -	$ -	$ -
								$ -	$ -	$ -
						FY 11 Totals		$ -	$ 6,215,000	$ 6,215,000

FUNDING TOTALS FOR 2007-2011

Other Total	$ 500,000	
Local Total		$ 101,760,000
All Projects Total		$ 102,260,000

UNFUNDED /UNSCHEDULED PROJECTS (Potential Candidate Projects for Consideration in the MTIP

	ADOT / YC	SR 69 Diamond Valley Signal			Signal					unknown
		SR 89A/Fain Rd T.I.			Construction T.I.					unknown
	Prescott Valley	SR 89A/Robert Rd T.I.			Construction T.I.					unknown
	Chino Valley	N. Connector SR 89 to SR 69			New Construction					unknown
	Regional	Glassford Hill - Extension			New Construction					unknown
	Yavapai County	Fain Road			Widen	2	4			unknown

STP = Surface Transportation Funds
BR = Bridge Rplacement Funds
TE = Transportation Enhancment Funds
GVT = Local Government Funds

ADOT=Arizona Department of Transportation
CV=Town of Chino Valley
PV=Town of Prescott Valley
COP=City of Prescott
YC=Yavapai County

Fiscal Year		Location		Fund Type	Local Match	Federal Match		Total Funding Available		
Currently, CYMPO does not have transit projects listed as the region is undergoing a transit feasibility study.										
Reflected is estimated available future regional funding.										
2007		Region		5303	$6,450.75	$25,803		$32,254		
2007		Region		5307	$159,508	$638,032		$797,540		
	TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2007				$165,959	$663,835		**$829,794**		
2008		Region		5303	$6,450.75	$25,803		$32,254		
2008		Region		5307	$159,508	$638,032		$797,540		
	TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2008				**$165,959**	**$663,835**		**$829,794**		
2009		Region		5303	$6,450.75	$25,803		$32,253.75		
2009		Region		5307	$159,508	$638,032		$797,540		
	TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES-2009				**$165,959**	**$663,835**		**$829,794**		
2010		Region		5303	$6,450.75	$25,803		$32,253.75		
2010		Region		5307	$159,508	$638,032		$797,540		
		TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES			**$165,959**	**$663,835**		**$829,794**		
2011		Region		5303	$6,450.75	$25,803		$32,254		
2011		Region		5307	$159,508	$638,032		$797,540		
		TOTAL ALL CATEGORIES			**$165,959**	**$663,835**		**$829,794**		
TOTAL FISCAL YEARS 2007-2011								**$4,148,970**		

Previous Federal Fiscal Years Funding

	FY 03	FY 03	FY 04	FY 04	FY 05	FY 05	FY 06	FY 06	FTA Dollars	CYMPO match
	80% fed. $	20% local match	80% fed. $	20% local match	80% fed. $	20% local match	80% fed. $	20% local match	Total	Total
5303	$25,803.00	$6,450.75	$25,803.00	$6,450.75	$25,803.00	$6,450.75	$25,803.00	$6,450.75	$103,212.00	$25,803.00
5307	$592,448.00	$148,112.00	$532,381.00	$133,095.25	$620,808.00	$155,202.00	$638,032.00	$159,508.00	$2,383,669.00	$595,917.25

FTA Section 5303 - Metropolitan Planning Program

FTA Section 5307 - Urbanized Zone Area with Populations of 50,000 to 200,000 (This funding has to be applied for.)

ADOT•Chino Valley•Prescott•Prescott Valley•Yavapai County

CENTRAL YAVAPAI
METROPOLITAN PLANNING ORGANIZATION

Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program
Fiscal Years 2007 to 2011

Public Comment

1. Prescott Chamber of Commerce

2. Prescott Alternative Transportation

GENERAL COMMENTS:

1. Projects which are entirely locally funded are listed in the MTIP for information only. Specific questions regarding these projects should be addressed directly to the applicable CYMPO member agency. Each member agency has an annual budget process during which its capital improvements program is formulated. Additionally, public meetings are routinely held by the applicable agencies, followed by presentation of the design scopes and details to the elected governing board/council for contract approval. These are the appropriate opportunities for commenting on and engaging in Q&A for such projects. Questions regarding the details of locally funded projects and comments/answers to them are outside the scope of the CYMPO MTIP.

2. All questions/comments regarding projects on the State Highway System should be referred to ADOT for responses to be included in the MTIP.

August 2006

EVERYBODY'S HOMETOWN
PRESCOTT
ARIZONA
CHAMBER of COMMERCE

August 11, 2006

Ms. Jodi Rooney
Administrator
Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization
7501 E. Civic Circle
Prescott Valley, AZ 86314

RE: Public Comment on Draft MTIP for 2007 – 2011

On behalf of the Prescott Chamber of Commerce, I am writing to support the Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program for FY2007 – FY2011. We look forward to the completion of the 89/89A traffic intersection this coming year, as well as the long-awaited improvements to Iron Springs Rd. and Copper Basin Rd. in Prescott.

I'd also like to use this opportunity to repeat a comment that we made to the State Transportation Board during their June meeting in Prescott. We hope that CYMPO will continue to pursue an acceleration of the 69/89 intersection project. While this is currently programmed for FY2009, any effort to move this project up in priority will be appreciated.

We note that all of the projects in the MTIP represent an investment of more than $154 million over the next five years—a significant amount—but we know that there are still many projects in need of funding as we seek to keep up with the projected growth in our area. The Chamber stands ready to take part in any discussions that affect our transportation future.

Sincerely,

David Maurer
CEO

P.O. Box 1147 • Prescott, AZ • 86302-1147
117 W. Goodwin Street • Prescott, AZ • 86303
Phone: 928-445-2000 • Fax: 928-445-0068
www.prescott.org

ADOT•Chino Valley•Prescott•Prescott Valley•Yavapai County

CYMPO
COMMENT FORM

METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM (MTIP)

Name: Lisa Barnes

Representing: Prescott Alternative Transportation

Address: PO Box 2122, Prescott **Zip:** 86302

Phone Number: [redacted] **Email:** [redacted]

We appreciate your interest in the regional Metropolitan Transportation Improvement Program and welcome your participation. Comments may be mailed to CYMPO, 7501 East Civic Circle, Prescott Valley, AZ 86314 or via email at the addresses below and must be received no later than August 21, 2006.

Re: MTIP Fiscal Years 2007 - 2011 for Federal and State Funded Projects --
#4,5,6 - We applaud Prescott Valley's forward thinking in creating this multiuse pathway!
#10 - It has been disappointing to hear of the delay in starting work on the 89/69 Interchange, and we appreciate efforts being made in attempting to move the timeline of this project up. Perhaps, though, it is possible to start work sooner on the project for which we helped win full grant funding - the pedestrian/bicycle pathway?
#14,15 - It seems perhaps premature to begin planning for this highway before the transit study is completed. For example, the transit study may recommend dedicated lanes along Hwy 69 for busses. Plus, the whole length of Hwy 69 should really have an HOV lane. We would also pose the question, how are pedestrians and bicyclists being accommodated within the existing plan for these sections? What about a multiuse (nonmotorized) overpass near the mall? I'm sure all are aware that quite a few pedestrians and cyclists currently try to walk/bike alongside Hwy 69. Citizens who can not, or choose not to, drive a car are put at great risk having no accommodations to travel down to the shopping centers.
#17 - We wonder whether three lanes plus bike lanes and sidewalks might serve ALL users better, especially considering this area's rapid evolution into a dense urban area.

Re: MTIP Fiscal Years 2007 - 2011 for Locally Funded Projects --
#1,2,11,12,17,18,20,24 - We sincerely hope that the original plans for bike lanes

and a sidewalk on one side remain intact along the City's section of Williamson Valley, and that the striped shoulders remain along the County's section.
#6 - We also sincerely hope the original plans for Iron Springs to have bike lanes and sidewalks (on both sides) remain intact.
#3 - For this new road, we ask how bicyclists and pedestrians will be accommodated, invited, to travel here - both along it and across it. All new road construction in this region should, by default, accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists; this follows the recommendations of the recent transportation plan proposed by Lima & Associates.
#8 - Are bike lanes and sidewalks (on both sides) part of the reconstruction of Ruth St.? It would sure benefit the high school students.
#15 - We are hoping bike lanes will be included as part of the reconstruction of Mt. Vernon. This area is turning into a very nice way to travel through town by bike!
#13,14 - As part of the reconstruction of both Rosser and Demerse Streets, we ask how pedestrians and bicyclists will be accommodated, both along and across the streets.
#21 - Park Ave. is an essential route for students attending Lincoln Elementary; wide sidewalks on both sides and bike lanes along the whole length will greatly benefit the neighborhood. Some traffic calming measures would also be welcomed, as cars often travel at speeds much higher than the posted limit.
#16,19 - As part of the widening of these two roads in Chino Valley, we ask how ALL users (pedestrians, cyclists, along with motorists) will be accommodated safely?

For additional information, please contact Jodi Rooney, Administrator, Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization (CYMPO) at 759-5516 or email HYPERLINK "mailto:jrooney@pvaz.net" jrooney@pvaz.net; or Joanne Barr, CYMPO Administrative Assistant, 759-5520 or HYPERLINK "mailto:jbarr@pvaz.net" jbarr@pvaz.net.
Through this public notice, the Central Yavapai Metropolitan Planning Organization is in compliance with Federal public review regulations for the projects listed in the FY 07 – 11 Draft MTIP.

CYMPO FY07-11 MTIP Response
Dallas Hammit, ADOT
Sept. 2006

Comment/Questions

#14,15 - It seems perhaps premature to begin planning for this highway before the transit study is completed. For example, the transit study may recommend dedicated lanes along Hwy 69 for busses. Plus, the whole length of Hwy 69 should really have an HOV lane. We would also pose the question, how are pedestrians and bicyclists being accommodated within the existing plan for these sections? What about a multiuse (nonmotorized) overpass near the mall? I'm sure all are aware that quite a few pedestrians and cyclists currently try to walk/bike alongside Hwy 69. Citizens who can not, or choose not to, drive a car are put at great risk having no accommodations to travel down to the shopping centers.

#17 - We wonder whether three lanes plus bike lanes and sidewalks might serve ALL users better, especially considering this area's rapid evolution into a dense urban area.

Response

Items 14 and 15 are both Developer driven projects (Item 14: Prescott Valley's Cross Roads Mall and Item 15: A study by the Tribe for future development along their frontage). Currently ADOT does not have a study in these areas. Since these are Developer driven projects ADOT can only require the Developers to mitigate their impacts to the highway. We can not require them to add HOV lanes, sidewalk, or bike lanes.

Item 17: On this project ADOT planned to replace the bridge on SR 169 over the Agua Fria. Currently the bridge is a two lane bridge. Also, ADOT has planned intersection improvements at the SR 69 and 169 intersection. Developments from both Prescott Valley and Dewey-Humboldt have shown interest in partnering with ADOT to add additional improvements to SR 169. The project would improve the highway to two lanes in each direction with a center turn lane.

Dallas Hammit, District Engineer
ADOT Prescott District